

UNIVERSITY OF GOTHENBURG

# Controlled Natural Language Generation from a Multilingual FrameNet-based Grammar

Dana Dannélls, Department of Swedish

Normunds Grūzītis, Department of Computer Science and Engineering

4th Workshop on Controlled Natural Language, 20–22 August 2014, Galway, Ireland

# **Previous and recent work**

- Normunds Grūzītis, Guntis Bārzdiņš. Polysemy in Controlled Natural Language Texts. CNL 2009
- Dana Dannélls. Applying semantic frame theory to automate natural language templates generation from ontology statements. INLG 2010
- Dana Dannélls, Lars Borin. Toward language independent methodology for generating artwork descriptions Exploring FrameNet information. LaTeCH 2012
- Normunds Grūzītis, Pēteris Paikens, Guntis Bārzdiņš. FrameNet Resource Grammar Library for GF. CNL 2012
- Normunds Grūzītis. A frame-semantic abstraction layer to GF RGL. GF Summer School 2013
- Dana Dannélls, Normunds Grūzītis. Extracting a bilingual semantic grammar from FrameNet-annotated corpora. LREC 2014

## **General aim**

	_	Abstract Syntax   Multilingual Concrete Syntax				
NL text	Objects	FN Events	GF-EN Paraphrase	GF-LV Paraphrase		
Sophie Amundsen was on her way home from school.	X1:Sophie Amundsen; X72:home; X73:school; X3:way;	E1:Self_motion( self_mover:X1; source:X73; goal:X72; path:X3)	E1:Sophie Amundsen moved from school to home.	E1:Sofija Amundsena pārvietojās no skolas uz mājām		
She had walked the first part of the way with Joanna.	X4: the first part of X3; X5:Joanna;	E2: Self_motion( self_mover:X1; path:X4; co_theme:X5; time:during E1)	E2:During E1 the first part of the way Sophie Amundsen walked with Joanna.	E2: E1 laikā ceļa pirmo pusi Sofija Amundsena gāja kopā ar Jūrunu.		
They had been discussing robots.	X6: robots;	E3: Discussion( interlocutors: X1,X5; topic:X6; time:during E2)	E3:During E2 Sophie Amundsen and Joanna discussed robots.	E3: E2 laikā Sofija Amundsena un Jūruna apsprieda robotus.		
Joanna thought		E4:Opinion(cognizer:X5; opinion:E5; time:during E3)	E4:During E3 Joanna stated E5.	E4: E3 laikā Jūruna apgalvoja E5.		
the human brain was like an advanced computer.	X7:the human brain; X8: an advanced computer;	E5: Similarity( entity1:X7; entity2:X8)	E5:The human brain is similar to an advanced computer.	E5: Cilvēka smadzenes ir līdzīgas sarežģītam datoram.		

Abstract Curtor

A slide from CNL 2012

Nultilingual Concrete Syntax

# Outline

- Background and the specific aim
- Extracting semantico-syntactic valence patterns from FrameNet-annotated corpora
- Generating a multilingual FrameNet-based grammar in GF
- Case studies
- Initial evaluation
- Conclusions and future work

### FrameNet

- A lexico-semantic resource based on the theory of frame semantics (Fillmore et al., 2003)
  - A semantic frame represents a prototypical, <u>language-independent</u> situation characterized by frame elements (FE) – semantic valence
  - A frame is evoked in a sentence by a <u>language-specific</u> lexical unit (LU)
  - FEs are mapped based on the **syntactic valence** of the LU
    - The syntactic and semantic **valence patterns** are derived from FrameNetannotated corpora (for an increasing number of languages)
  - FEs are divided into core and non-core ones
    - Core FEs uniquely characterize the frame and syntactically correspond to verb arguments
    - Non-core FEs (adjuncts) are not specific to the frame

# **BFN and SweFN**

- Currently, we consider two framenets (FN): the original Berkeley FrameNet (BFN) and the Swedish FrameNet (SweFN)
  - Only frames for which there is at least one corpus example where the frame is evoked by a <u>verb</u>
- BFN 1.5 defines >1,000 frames of which 556 are evoked by ~3,200 verb LUs in >68,500 annotated sentences
- The SweFN development version covers >900 frames of which
   638 are evoked by ~2,300 verb LUs in >3,700 sentences
- SweFN, like many other FNs, mostly reuses BFN frames, hence, BFN frames can be seen as a semantic interlingua

# Example

	Det	finition:	An Experiencer d	esires that an Ex	vent occur. In	
	active partici-					
			pant in the Event,			
			self is often not me	entioned, but rath	er some Focal_	
			participant which	is subordinately	involved.	
	Co	re:	Event, Experienc	-	cipant,	
			Location_of_Even			
	No	n-core:	Cause, Degree, D			
			Purpose_of_Even			
			participant, Time	e, Time_of_Eve	nt	
	BFI	N fram	ies and FEs			
	want.v	6412			🦯 känna för.v	h 1
	v ante.v					01
Examples	Valence pattern	IS		Examples	Valence patter	ns
40	Event	Expe	riencer	1	Event	Experiencer
(22)	VPto.Dep	NP.E	lxt	(1)		Ĩ
14	Experiencer	Focal	_participant	(1)	VB.INF.VG	NN.SS
(10)	NP.Ext	NP.C	)bj	2	Experiencer	Focal_participant
(1)	PP[by].Dep	NP.E	Cxt	(2)	NN.SS	NN.00
some vale	ence patterns	found	in <b>BFN</b>	Some valen	ce patterns fou	nd in <b>SweFN</b>

# FrameNet-based grammar in GF

- Existing FNs are not entirely formal and computational
  - We provide a **computational** FrameNet-<u>based</u> grammar and lexicon
- GF, Grammatical Framework (Ranta, 2004)
  - Separates between an abstract syntax and concrete syntaxes
  - Provides a general-purpose resource grammar library (RGL) for nearly 30 languages that implement the same abstract syntax
    - Large mono- and multilingual **lexicons** (for an increasing number of languages)
- The <u>language-independent</u> layer of FrameNet (frames and FEs) the abstract syntax
  - The <u>language-specific</u> layers (surface realization of frames and LUs) concrete syntaxes
- RGL is used for <u>unifying</u> the syntactic types used in different FNs
  - FrameNet allows for <u>abstracting</u> over RGL constructors

# Specific aim (1)

- Provide a <u>shared</u> FrameNet API to GF RGL, so that application grammar <u>developers</u> could primarily use <u>semantic</u> constructors
  - In combination with some simple syntactic constructors
  - But instead of comparatively complex constructors for building verb phrases

mkCl person (mkVP (mkVP Live\_V) (mkAdv in\_Prep place))
 -- mkCl : NP -> VP -> Cl
 -- mkVP : V -> VP
 -- mkVP : VP -> Adv -> VP
 -- mkAdv : Prep -> NP -> Adv

Residence	Residence : NP -> Adv -> V -> Cl	-
person	NP (Resident)	
(mkAdv in_Prep place)	Adv (Location)	
<pre>Live_V_Residence</pre>	V (LU)	

# Specific aim (2)

• FrameNet-annotated DBs of facts  $\rightarrow$  multilingual CNL verbalization

		Time	Place	Relatives	Child	
Being_born	dzimt.v	1933. gada 3. maijs	Slokas pagasts	zvejnieka ģimene	Imants Ziedonis	
		Institution	Subject	Time	Place	Student
Education_teaching	absolvēt.v	Tukuma 1. vidusskola		1952. gads	Tukums	Imants Ziedonis
Education_teaching	beigt.v	Latvijas Universitāte	vēsture un filoloģija	1959. gads		Imants Ziedonis
Education_teaching	beigt.v	Augstākais literārais []		1964. gads	Maskava	Imants Ziedonis
		Employer	Place_of_employment	Position	Time	Employee
Being_employed	redaktors.n	izdevniecība Liesma		> redaktors		Imants Ziedonis
Being_employed	sekretārs.n	Latvijas rakstnieku []		> sekretārs		Imants Ziedonis
Being_employed	loceklis.n	AP tautas izglītības []		> loceklis		Imants Ziedonis
Being_employed	loceklis.n	Latvijas Institūts		> loceklis	1998. gads	Imants Ziedonis
Being_employed	padomnieks.n			> padomnieks	1997. gads	Imants Ziedonis
Being_employed	skolotājs. <mark>n</mark>	Jūrmalas 1. vidusskola		> skolotājs		Imants Ziedonis
		Time	Prize	Rank	Competition	Competitor
Win_prize	apbalvot.v	1983. gads	Tautu draudzības []			Imants Ziedonis
Win_prize	piešķirt <b>.v</b>	1972. gads	Nopelniem bagātais []			Imants Ziedonis
Win_prize	piešķirt.v	1977. gads	Tautas dzejnieka goda []			Imants Ziedonis
Win_prize	saņemt.v		1991. gada barikāžu []			Imants Ziedonis

#### Issues

- LU: a verb (which one?) or a copula (i.e., no LU)?
- Prepositional object / adverbial modifier: which preposition (or case)?
- Translation of FE fillers

# **Extraction of frame valence patterns**

- Valence patterns that are <u>shared</u> between FNs (currently, BFN and SweFN)
  - Multilingual applications
  - Cross-lingual validation
- Currently, only core FEs that make the frames unique
- Example: the shared patterns for the frame Desiring
  - Desiring/V<sub>Act</sub> Experiencer/NP<sub>Subj</sub> Focal\_participant/Adv
     e.g., [Dexter]<sub>Experiencer</sub> [YEARNED] [for a cigarette]<sub>Focal\_participant</sub>
  - Desiring/V2<sub>Act</sub> Experiencer/NP<sub>Subj</sub> Focal\_participant/NP<sub>DObj</sub>
     e.g., [she]<sub>Experiencer</sub> [WANTS] [a protector]<sub>Focal\_participant</sub>
  - Desiring/VV<sub>Act</sub> Event/VP Experiencer/NP<sub>Subj</sub>
     e.g., [/]<sub>Experiencer</sub> would n't [WANT] [to know]<sub>Event</sub>
- The uniform patterns contain sufficient info for generating the grammar

# 1. Language- and FN-specific processing

```
<sentence ID="732945">
<text>Traders in the city want a change.</text>
<annotationSet><layer rank="1" name="BNC">
 <label start="0" end="6" name="NP0"/>
 <label start="20" end="23" name="VVB"/>
 <label start="25" end="25" name="AT0"/>
</layer></annotationSet>
<annotationSet status="MANUAL">
 <layer rank="1" name="FE">
  <label start="0" end="18" name="Experiencer"/>
  <label start="25" end="32" name="Event"/>
 </layer>
 <layer rank="1" name="GF">
  <label start="0" end="18" name="Ext"/>
  <label start="25" end="32" name="0bj"/>
 </layer>
 <layer rank="1" name="PT">
  <label start="0" end="18" name="NP"/>
  <label start="25" end="32" name="NP"/>
 </layer>
 <layer rank="1" name="Target">
  <label start="20" end="23" name="Target"/>
 </layer>
</annotationSet>
</sentence>
```

```
<sentence id="ebca5af9-e0494c4e">
```

```
<w pos="VB" ref="3" deprel="ROOT">skulle</w>
<element name="Experiencer">
 <w pos="PN" ref="4" dephead="3" deprel="SS">
  jaq
 </w>
</element>
<element name="LU">
 <w msd="VB.AKT" ref="5" dephead="3" deprel="VG">
  vilja
 </w>
</element>
<element name="Event">
 <w msd="VB.INF" ref="6" dephead="5" deprel="VG">
  ha
 </w>
 <w pos="RG" ref="7" dephead="8" deprel="DT">
  ราน
 </w>
 <w pos="NN" ref="8" dephead="6" deprel="00">
  sångare
 </w>
</element>
</sentence>
```

- Different XML schemes, POS tagsets and syntactic annotations
- Rules and heuristics for generalizing to RGL types, and for deciding the syntactic roles
- A lot of automatic annotation errors → heuristic correction (partial)

### 2. Extracted sentence patterns (BFN)

Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Event_VP	long.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Event_VP Opt_Reason_Adv	aspire.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP.Subj Opt_Time_Adv Event_VP	fancy.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Event_VP	want.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Event_VP	yearn.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP.Subj Experiencer_NP.Subj Event_VP	aspire.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Event_NP. <i>DObj</i>	want.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Event_S	desire.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Focal_participant_Adv[ <i>after</i> ]	yearn.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Focal_participant_Adv[ <i>for</i> ]	yearn.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Focal_participant_Adv[ <i>for</i> ]	yearn.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Focal_participant_Adv	want.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Focal_participant_NP. <i>DObj</i>	want.v
Desiring	Act	Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i> Focal_participant_NP. <i>DObj</i>	want.v
Desiring	Act	Focal_participant_NP. <i>DObj</i> Experiencer_NP. <i>Subj</i>	crave.v
Desiring	Act	Focal_participant_NP. <i>DObj</i>	want.v
Desiring	Pass	Focal_participant_NP. <i>Subj</i> Experiencer_NP. <i>DObj</i>	desire.v
Desiring	Pass	Focal_participant_NP. <i>Subj</i> Experiencer_NP. <i>DObj</i>	want.v

### 3. Summarized valence patterns (BFN)

```
Desiring : 288
   Act : 275
        Event VP Experiencer NP : 61
            Experiencer NP.Subj Event VP : 59
            Event VP Experiencer NP.Subj : 2
        Experiencer NP Focal participant NP : 61
            Experiencer NP. Subj Focal participant NP. DObj : 55
            Focal participant NP.DObj Experiencer NP.Subj : 6
        Experiencer NP Focal participant Adv : 43
            Experiencer NP.Subj Focal participant Adv[for] : 26
            Experiencer_NP.Subj Focal_participant_Adv[after] : 7
            Experiencer NP. Subj Focal participant Adv : 2
    Pass : 13
        Experiencer NP Focal participant NP : 5
            Focal participant NP.Subj Experiencer NP.DObj : 5
        . . .
```

- Normalized, ignoring the word order and prepositions (or cases)
- For the abstract syntax, we consider only the normalized patterns
- For the concrete syntax the most frequent sentence pattern of each normalized pattern

## 4. Pattern comparison by subsumption

- Pattern A subsumes pattern B if:
  - A.frame = B.frame
  - type(A.LU) = type(B.LU)
  - A.voice = B.voice
  - $B.FEs \subseteq A.FEs$  (incl. the syntactic types and roles)
- If A subsumes B and B subsumes A then A = B
- If a pattern of FN<sub>1</sub> is subsumed by a pattern of FN<sub>2</sub>, it is added to the **shared** set (and vice versa)
  - In the final set, patterns that are subsumed by other patterns are removed

P1: Apply\_heat V2 Act Cook\_NP.Subj Food\_NP.DObj P2: Apply\_heat V2 Act Cook\_NP.Subj Container\_Adv Food\_NP.DObj P3: Apply\_heat V2 Act Food\_NP.DObj

P1 is subsumed by P2, P3 is subsumed by P1, P2; P1 and P3 are to be removed

# **Experiment series**

• To roughly estimate the impact of various choices made in the extraction process, we have run a series of experiments

	BFN										SweF	N		
S	S	Valenc	e patterns	Sentend	e patterns	Corpus	examples	S	ي Valence patterns		Sentend	e patterns	Corpus	examples
Settings	Frames	total	per frame	total	per valence pattern	total	per sentence pattern	Frame	total	per frame	total	per valence pattern	total	per sentence pattern
0.0	556	19905	36	25696	1.3	68653	2.7	637	3395	5	3426	1.0	3688	1.1
2.0	555	16479	30	24491	1.5	66322	2.7	631	2811	4	2912	1.0	3393	1.2
2.A	551	14135	26	22115	1.6	65008	2.9	625	2704	4	2812	1.0	3275	1.2
2.B	551	5493	10	8696	1.6	65103	7.5	625	1965	3	2089	1.1	3298	1.6
3.0	506	6381	13	14393	2.3	56224	3.9	273	392	1	493	1.3	974	2.0
3.A	502	5968	12	13948	2.3	56841	4.1	266	381	1	489	1.3	952	1.9
3.B	<b>508</b>	3481	7	6684	1.9	63091	9.4	423	630	1	754	1.2	1963	2.6

- 0.0: Extract sentence patterns using FN-specific syntactic types ("baseline")
- 1.0: Skip examples containing few currently unconsidered syntactic types
- 2.0: Generalize syntactic types according to RGL
- 3.0: Skip once-used valence patterns (e.g., to reduce the propagation of annotation errors)
- x.A: Skip repeated FEs
- **x.B**: Skip non-core FEs and repeated FEs
- In the result, we have extracted a set of **714** shared semantico-syntactic valence patterns covering **421** frames

### FrameNet-based grammar: abstract

- Frame valence patterns are represented by functions
  - Taking one or more core FEs and one LU as arguments
  - Returning an object of type Clause whose linearization type is {np: NP; vp: VP}

```
fun Desiring_V : Experiencer_NP -> Focal_participant_Adv -> V -> Clause
fun Desiring_V2 : Experiencer_NP -> Focal_participant_NP -> V2 -> Clause
fun Desiring_V2_Pass : Experiencer_NP -> Focal_participant_NP -> V2 -> Clause
fun Desiring_VV : Event_VP -> Experiencer_NP -> VV -> Clause
```

- **FE**s are declared as semantic categories subcategorized by RGL types
  - NP, VP, Adv (includes prepositional phrases), S (embedded sentences)

cat	Event_VP	<pre>cat Focal_participant_NP</pre>
cat	Experiencer_NP	<pre>cat Focal_participant_Adv</pre>

- LUs are represented as functions that take no arguments
  - Return V, V2, V3, VV, VS, V2V, or V2S

```
fun hunger_V_Desiring : V
fun yearn_V_Desiring : V
fun want_V2_Desiring : V2
fun want_VV_Desiring : VV
fun yearn_VV_Desiring : VV
```

```
fun längta_V_Desiring : V
fun känna_V2_Desiring : V2
fun känna_VV_Desiring : VV
fun vilja_VV_Desiring : VV
fun känna_V_Feeling : V
fun känna_V2_Familiarity : V2
```

### FrameNet-based grammar: concrete

 The mapping from the semantic FrameNet types to the syntactic RGL types is shared for all languages

```
lincat Focal_participant_NP = Maybe NP
lincat Focal_participant_Adv = Maybe Adv
```

- Linearization types are of type *Maybe* to allow for optional (empty) FEs
- To implement the frame functions, RGL **constructors** are applied to the arguments depending on their types and syntactic roles, and the voice

```
lin Desiring_V2 experiencer focal_participant v2 = {
    np = fromMaybe NP experiencer ;
    vp = mkVP v2 (fromMaybe NP focal_participant)
}
lin Desiring_V2_Pass experiencer focal_participant v2 = {
    np = fromMaybe NP focal_participant ;
    vp = mkVP (passiveVP v2) (mkAdv by8agent_Prep (fromMaybe NP experiencer))
}
```

- The monolingual RGL dictionaries are reused for implementing LUs
  - 2,755 (2,996) entries for English, and 1,211 (1,257) for Swedish

### FrameNet-based grammar: concrete

Verb	Voice	FE types and roles	Freq.	Verb	Voice	FE types and roles	Freq.
V2	Act	NP <sub>DObj</sub> NP <sub>Subj</sub>	238	V	Act	Adv	8
V	Act	$\mathrm{Adv}\;\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{Subj}}$	138	V2	Act	Adv NP <sub>DObj</sub>	8
V2	Pass	$\rm NP_{Subj}$	70	V2V	Act	$NP_{IObj} NP_{Subj} VP$	5
V	Act	$\rm NP_{Subj}$	65	VS	Pass	S	3
V2	Act	$Adv \; NP_{DObj} \; NP_{Subj}$	62	V	Act	Adv Adv Adv $NP_{Subj}$	2
V2	Pass	$\mathrm{Adv}\ \mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{Subj}}$	31	V2	Act	Adv Adv NP <sub>DObj</sub> NP <sub>Subj</sub>	2
VS	Act	$NP_{Subj} S$	26	V2	Pass	Adv	2
VV	Act	$NP_{Subj} VP$	18	V2	Pass	$\mathrm{Adv}\;\mathrm{Adv}\;\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{Subj}}$	2
V	Act	$\mathrm{Adv}\;\mathrm{Adv}\;\mathrm{NP}_{\mathrm{Subj}}$	14	V3	Act	$NP_{IObj} NP_{Subj}$	2
V2	Act	$\rm NP_{DObj}$	14	VS	Act	$Adv NP_{Subj} S$	2

- The 714 semantico-syntactic valence patterns reuse **25 syntactic patterns** 
  - 25 RGL-based code templates are used to generate the implementation of frame functions; most templates are derived from few basic templates
    - E.g., adverbial modifiers are added by recursive calls of the *mkVP* constructor (the order of *Adv* FEs can differ across languages)

#### A FrameNet-based API to GF Resource Grammar Library

	<b>Q</b> vers. 0.9.3	REMU
Damaging Daring	Desiring	
Death		
Deciding	<b>Desiring_V</b> : Experiencer_NP $\rightarrow$ Focal_participant_Adv $\rightarrow$ V $\rightarrow$ Clause	
Delimitation_of_diversity	Eng: [he] <sub>Experiencer</sub> [WANTED] [more] <sub>Focal participant</sub>	
Delivery Deny_permission	► aspire_V_Desiring : V	
Departing		
Deserving	hanker_V_Desiring : V	
Desiring	hunger_V_Desiring : V	
Destroying Detaching	▶ long_V_Desiring : V	
Detaining		
Differentiation	► lust_V_Desiring : V	
Dispersal	▶ <b>pine</b> _V_Desiring : V	
Dodging	thirst_V_Desiring : V	
Dominate_competitor Dominate_situation	want_V_Desiring : V	
Dressing		
Drop_in_on	yearn_V_Desiring : V	
Dunking	Swe: [Roberte] <sub>Experiencer</sub> [LÄNGTADE] [hem till Tyskland] <sub>Focal_participant</sub>	
Earnings_and_losses	► längta_V_Desiring : V	
Eclipse Education_teaching		
Elusive_goal	<b>Desiring_V2</b> : Experiencer_NP $\rightarrow$ Focal_participant_NP $\rightarrow$ V2 $\rightarrow$ Clause	
Emanating		
Emitting	Eng: [you] <sub>Experiencer</sub> [WANT] [one] <sub>Focal_participant</sub>	
Emotion_active Emotion_heat	covet_V2_Desiring : V2	
Employing	crave_V2_Desiring : V2	
Emptying	desire_V2_Desiring : V2	
Encoding		
Endangering	fancy_V2_Desiring : V2	
Enforcing Entering_of_plea	want_V2_Desiring : V2	
Entering_ol_plea	▶ yearn V2 Desiring : V2	

#### http://grammaticalframework.org/framenet/

### Case study: Phrasebook

- Precise translation of standard touristic phrases
- Apart from idiomatic phrases, many can be constructed by aplying the previously introduced frame functions
- ALive : Person -> Country -> Action
  - Residence\_V : Location\_Adv -> Resident\_NP -> V -> Clause
    - I live in Sweden (Eng)
    - jag bor i Sverige (Swe)
- AWantGo : Person -> Place -> Action
  - Desiring\_VV : Event\_VP -> Experiencer\_NP -> VV -> Clause
  - Motion\_V\_2 : Goal\_Adv -> Source\_Adv -> Theme\_NP -> V -> Clause
    - we want to go to a museum (Eng)
    - vi vill gå till ett museum (Swe)
- No changes needed in the Phrasebook abstract syntax
  - Frame functions are not part of Phrasebook abstract syntax trees
- The re-engineered grammar generates equal phrases

# Case study: Phrasebook

#### • Before:

```
lin ALive p co =
  mkCl
    p.name
    (mkVP
      (mkVP (mkV "Live"))
      (mkAdv in_Prep co))
```

```
lin AWantGo p pl =
    mkCl
    p.name
    want_VV
    (mkVP
        (mkVP IrregEng.go_V)
        pl.to)
```

#### • After:

```
lin ALive p co = let cl : Clause =
Residence_V
(Just Adv (mkAdv in_Prep co))
(Just NP p.name)
Live_V_Residence
in mkCl cl.np cl.vp
```

```
lin AWantGo p pl = let cl : Clause =
Desiring_VV
(Just VP -- Event
(Motion_V_2
(Just Adv pl.to) -- Goal
(Nothing' Adv) -- Source
(Nothing' NP) -- Theme
go_V_Motion
).vp)
(Just NP p.name) -- Experiencer
want_VV_Desiring
in mkCl cl.np cl.vp
```

# Case study: Painting grammar

- Verbalizes descriptions of museum objects stored in an ontology
- A set of triples describing the artwork *Le Général Bonaparte*:
  - <LeGeneralBonaparte> <createdBy> <JacquesLouisDavid>
  - <LeGeneralBonaparte> <hasDimension> <LeGeneralBonaparteDimesion>
  - <LeGeneralBonaparte> <hasCreationDate> <LeGeneralBonaparteCreationDate>
  - <LeGeneralBonaparte> <hasCurrentLocation> <MuseeDuLouvre>

#### • Triples are combined by the grammar to generate a <u>coherent text</u>

- DPainting : Painting -> Painter -> Year -> Size -> Museum -> Description
  - Eng: Le Général Bonaparte **was painted by** Jacques-Louis David **in** 1510. <u>It</u> **measures** 81 by 65 cm. <u>This work</u> **is displayed at** the Musée du Louvre.
  - Swe: Le Général Bonaparte målades av Jacques-Louis David år 1510. <u>Den</u> mäter 81 gånger 65 cm. <u>Det här verket</u> hänger på Louvren.
- The re-engineered grammar generates **semantically equivalent** descriptions
  - The Swedish grammar uses different verbs and pronouns in comparison to English and the original Swedish grammar

# Case study: Painting grammar

```
lin DPainting
painting painter year size museum =
let
s1 : Text = mkText (mkS
 pastTense (mkCl painting (mkVP
   (mkVP (passiveVP paint V2)
    (mkAdv by8agent Prep
    painter.long)) year.s)));
s2 : Text = mkText
 (mkCl it NP (mkVP (mkVP)
   (mkVPSlash measure V2)
   (mkNP (mkN "")) size.s)));
s3: Text = mkText
  (mkCl (mkNP this Det painting)
  (mkVP (passiveVP display V2)
   museum.s))
in mkText s1 (mkText s2 s3);
```

\* Currently not available out-of-the-box

```
lin DPainting
painting painter year size museum =
let
cl1 : Clause =
Create_physical_artwork_V2_Pass*
(Just NP painter.long) -- Creator
(Just NP painting) -- Representation
paint_V2_Create_physical_artwork;
```

```
cl2 : Clause = Dimension_V2*
(Just NP size.s) -- Measurement
(Just NP it_NP) -- Object
measure_V2;
```

```
cl3 : Clause = Being_located_V2_Pass*
 (Just Adv museum.s) -- Loc.
 (Just NP (mkNP this_Det painting)) -- Theme
 display_V2
```

```
in mkText (mkText (mkS pastTense
  (mkCl cl1.np (mkVP cl1.vp year.s))) -- Time
  (mkText (mkCl cl2.np cl2.vp)
    (mkText (mkCl cl1.np cl3.vp)));
```

# **Evaluation**

#### • Intrinsic

- The number of examples in the source corpora that belong to the set of shared frames..
  - ...and are <u>covered</u> by the shared semantico-syntactic valence patterns
- Corpus examples are represented by sentence patterns disregarding noncore FEs, word order and prepositions
  - Syntactic roles and the grammatical voice are considered
- In BFN, ~55,800 examples (84.1% of total) belong to the shared set of 421 frames, and 69.4% of them are covered by the shared patterns
  - In SweFN, ~2,400 examples (71.4% of total) belong to the shared set of frames, and 69.0% of them are covered by the shared patterns

#### • Extrinsic

- The number of constructors used to linearize functions in the original vs.
   re-engineered grammar (comparison of <u>code complexity</u>)
  - In Paintings, the number of constructors is reduced by 38% while in Phrasebook – by 20–27% (considering only the modified functions)

# Summary

- A novel approach for automatic acquisition of a multilingual semantic grammar from FrameNet-annotated corpora
  - A **unified** method to compare semantico-syntactic valence patterns across FNs
- Despite the small SweFN corpus, the set of extracted shared valence patterns is **concise** and already provides a wide coverage
  - The relatively small number of patterns allows for manual checking
  - The numbers are not stable and vary across releases but illustrate the tendency
- The FrameNet API to RGL makes certain application grammars more robust and flexible (easier to extend)
- The valence extracted for LUs provides feedback to RGL dictionaries
- The future potential is to provide a means for multilingual verbalization of FrameNet-annotated databases

## **Future work**

- Add more languages
  - Treebank-based corpora (e.g. German)
  - Rich morphology (e.g. Latvian)
- Detect **prepositional objects** (*NP* vs. *Adv*; LU-governed prepositions)
- Differentiate syntactic **roles of VP FEs** (object vs. adverbial modifier)
- Include shared **non-core FEs** (via a modified comparison algorithm)
- Align LUs among languages (e.g. via GF translation dictionaries)
- Towards FrameNet parsing in GF
  - First, frame labelling
    - FrameNet grammar as an embedded CNL in RGL
    - Restrict LUs to frames (by using GF dependent types)
  - Later, full semantic role labelling (SRL)